UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO GRANDE DO SUL INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA CADERNOS DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA SÉRIE A: TRABALHO DE PESQUISA

EXPONENTIAL ESTIMATES FOR "NOT VERY LARGE DEVIATIONS" AND WAVE FRONT PROPAGATION FOR CLASS OF REACTION-DIFFUSION EQUATIONS

SARA IANDA C. CARMONA N. I. TANAKA

SÉRIE A, Nº 48
PORTO ALEGRE, MARÇO DE 1997

EXPONENTIAL ESTIMATES FOR "NOT VERY LARGE DEVIATIONS"

AND WAVE FRONT PROPAGATION

FOR A CLASS OF REACTION-DIFFUSION EQUATIONS

S.C. Carmona; N.I. Tanaka

Abstract

A Large Deviation Principle for a class of random processes depending on a small parameter $\varepsilon>0$ is established. This class of processes arises from a random perturbation of a dynamical system. Then, exponential estimates for events of the type "not very large deviations" (deviations of order ε^{κ} , $0<\kappa<\frac{1}{2}$) are obtained. Finally, the wave front propagation, as $\varepsilon\downarrow 0$, of the solution of some initial-boundary value problems is analyzed; these problems are formulated in terms of a reaction-diffusion equation whose diffusion coefficient is of order $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ and the non linear term is of order $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}$. The wave front is characterized in terms of the action functional corresponding to the Large Deviation Principle initially obtained.

Keywords and phrases: Large deviation, action functional, "not very large deviations", wave front propagation.

Partially supported by FAPESP and CNPq.

1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with a family of random processes $(X_t^{\varepsilon}: t \geq 0)$ depending on a small parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ and satisfying the system of differential equations

$$\dot{X}_{t}^{\varepsilon} = b(X_{t}^{\varepsilon}, Y_{t}^{\varepsilon}), \quad X_{0}^{\varepsilon} = x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$$

where $b(x,y)=(b^1(x,y),\cdots,b^d(x,y)),\ x\in\mathbf{R}^d,\ y\in\mathbf{R}^l$, is bounded as well as are its first and second derivatives. We define $Y_t^\varepsilon\equiv Y_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}$ where $(Y_t:t\geq 0)$ is a random process whose trajectories are continuous with probability one or have a finite number of descontinuities

of first kind on any finite interval. These conditions are sufficient (see [10]) for system (1.1) having a unique solution with probability one.

We assume that there exists a vector field $\bar{b}(x)$ in \mathbf{R}^d such that

(1.2)
$$\lim_{T \to +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T b(x, Y_s) \, ds = \bar{b}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^d,$$

uniformly in x, with probability one. Under (1.2) the trajectories of $(X_t^{\varepsilon}, t \geq 0)$ converge, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, to the solution $(\bar{x}_t : t \geq 0)$ of

$$\dot{\bar{x}}_t = \bar{b}(\bar{x}_t), \quad \bar{x}_0 = x \in \mathbf{R}^d.$$

The convergence is in the space $(C_{[0,T]}(\mathbf{R}^d); \|\cdot\|)$ of the continuous functions on [0,T] with values in \mathbf{R}^d , with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|$.

As a consequence of the Averaging Principle,

(1.4)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} P\{||X_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \bar{x}_{\cdot}|| > \delta\} = 0, \quad \forall \delta > 0.$$

The event $[||X^{\varepsilon} - \bar{x}.|| > \delta]$ represents a "Large Deviation" (deviation of order 1) of X^{ε} from \bar{x} . Problems related with deviations of order 1 were extensively studied by Freidlin (see [6]-[9]). Under some additional conditions, he proved that $(X^{\varepsilon}_t : t \geq 0)$ has a normalized action functional in the space $(C_{[0,T]}(\mathbf{R}^d); ||\cdot||)$ which is given by

(1.5)
$$S_{0T}(\varphi) = \begin{cases} \int_0^T L(\varphi_s; \dot{\varphi}_s) \, ds, & \varphi \text{ a.c.} \\ +\infty, & \text{in the rest of } C_{[0,T]}(\mathbf{R}^d); \end{cases}$$

the function $L(x,\beta)$ is the Legendre transform of $\lambda(x,\alpha)$ with

(1.6)
$$\lambda(x,\alpha) = \lim_{T \to +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \ln E \exp \left\{ \int_0^T \langle \alpha, b(x, Y_s) \rangle ds \right\}, \quad x, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}^d,$$

where E is the expectation corresponding to the distribution of $(Y_t : t \ge 0)$. The normalized coefficient is $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$.

According to the definition of action functional (see Freidlin [10]), $S_{0T}(\varphi)$ satisfies the following conditions:

(A.0) Compactness of the level sets: $\forall s > 0$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\Phi(s) = \{ \varphi \in C_{[0,T]}(\mathbf{R}^d) : S_{0T}(\varphi) \le s, \, \varphi_0 = x \}$$

are compact sets.

(A.I) Lower bound: $\forall \delta > 0$, $\forall \gamma > 0$, $\forall \varphi \in C_{[0,T]}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$P\left\{||X_{\cdot}^{\epsilon} - \varphi|| < \delta\right\} \ge \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left[S_{0T}(\varphi) + \gamma\right]\right\}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0.$$

(A.II) Upper bound: $\forall \delta > 0$, $\forall \gamma > 0$, $\forall s > 0$, $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$P\left\{\rho_{0T}\left(X_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}, \Phi(s)\right) \geq \delta\right\} \leq \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(s-\gamma)\right\}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}.$$

Under conditions (A.0)-(A.II), one says that $S_{0T}(\cdot)$ is the normalized action functional for the family of random processes $(X_t^{\varepsilon}: t \geq 0)$ with normalizing coeficient $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. Moreover, it characterizes a Large Deviation Principle (LDP) for that family.

The functional (1.5) allows one to obtain exponential estimate for $P\{||X_{\cdot}^{\epsilon} - \bar{x}_{\cdot}|| > \delta\}$. Moreover, Freidlin, in many of his articles, considered a variety of applications of the Large Deviation Principle characterized by (1.5).

Taking into account the smoothness of b(x, y) and assuming conditions of strong mixing for $(Y_t : t \ge 0)$, Khas'minskii [13] proved that

(1.7)
$$\zeta_t^{\varepsilon} = \frac{X_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{x}_t}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$$

converges weakly, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, to a Gaussian Markov process ζ_t^0 on [0,T]. The precise assumptions for the function b(x,y) and the process $(Y_t:t\geq 0)$ may be found in [13] or in Theorem 7.3.1 in [10]. The limit process satisfies the system of linear differential equations

(1.8)
$$\dot{\zeta}_{t}^{0} = \dot{W}_{t}^{0} + \bar{B}(\bar{x}_{t}) \zeta_{t}^{0}, \quad \zeta_{0}^{0} = 0;$$

the process W_t^0 is Gaussian with independent increments, $EW_t^0 = 0$, and correlation matrix $(R^{ki}(t))$ given by

$$R^{ki}(t) = EW_t^{0,k}W_t^{0,i} = \int_0^t A_{ki}(\bar{x}_s) ds,$$

with

(1.9)
$$A_{ki}(x) = \lim_{T \to +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{T} A_{ki}(x, s, t) ds dt$$

and

$$(1.10) A_{ki}(x, s, t) = E[b^k(x, Y_s) - Eb^k(x, Y_s)][b^i(x, Y_t) - Eb^i(x, Y_t)].$$

The matrix $\bar{B}(x)$ is given by

$$\bar{B}_{k}^{i}(x) = \frac{\partial \bar{b}^{i}}{\partial x^{k}}(x) = \lim_{T \to +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} B_{k}^{i}(x, Y_{s}) ds$$

uniformly in x, with probability one, and $B_k^i(x,y) = \frac{\partial b^i}{\partial x^k}(x,y)$.

The study of the normalized difference (1.7) was carried out by considering the normalized linearized system obtained by linearization in the neighborhood of \bar{x}_t and then verifying that the original system differs from the linearized one by an infinitely small quantity compared with $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$. The weak convergence of ζ_t^{ε} to ζ_t^0 characterizes the asymptotic behavior of deviations of order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$:

(1.12)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} P\{||X_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \bar{x}_{\cdot}|| > \delta \sqrt{\varepsilon}\} = P\{||\zeta_{\cdot}^{0}|| > \delta\}, \quad \forall \delta > 0.$$

In this paper we are mainly interested in the asymptotic behavior of $(Z_t^{\varepsilon}: t \geq 0)$, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, where

(1.13)
$$Z_t^{\varepsilon} = \frac{X_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{x}_t}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}}, \quad 0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{2}.$$

It turns out that, $\forall \delta > 0$,

(1.14)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} P\{||X_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \bar{x}_{\cdot}|| > \delta \varepsilon^{\kappa}\} = 0.$$

Deviations of order ε^{κ} of X_{\cdot}^{ε} from \bar{x}_{\cdot} are called "not very large deviations". Baîer & Freidlin [3] and Freidlin [10] considered "not very large deviations" when the initial condition is an equilibrium point of the system (1.3). They studied the stability of the solution of (1.1) in a neighborhood of order ε^{κ} of the equilibrium point, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. In this case, if 0 is the initial point, then $\bar{b}(0) = 0$ and the process Z_t^{ε} becomes

$$(1.15) Z_t^{\varepsilon} = \frac{X_t^{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}}.$$

In [3] (or [10]) a LDP for the family of processes in (1.15) is enunciated and a suggestion for the proof of the lower bound (A.I) and upper bound (A.II) in the definition of action functional is given. Using this LDP, it was proved that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} P\{||X_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}|| > \delta \varepsilon^{\kappa}\} = 0.$$

By using the method suggested by Baîer & Freidlin we established a LDP for the family Z_t^{ε} in (1.13) when the initial point is not necessarily an equilibrium point. From the smoothness of b(x,y) we write

$$(1.16) X_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{x}_t = \int_0^t b(X_s^{\varepsilon}, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \, ds - \int_0^t \bar{b}(\bar{x}_s) \, ds =$$

$$= \int_0^t [b(\bar{x}_s, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) - \bar{b}(\bar{x}_s)] \, ds + \int_0^t B(\bar{x}_s, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) (X_s^{\varepsilon} - \bar{x}_s) \, ds +$$

$$+ \int_0^t r^2 (X_s^{\varepsilon} - \bar{x}_s) \, ds$$

where B(x, y) is given in (1.11) and $r^2(\cdot)$ is the rest of Lagrange in the Taylor's expansion of b(x, y) in a neighborhood of \bar{x}_t .

Let us define

(1.17)
$$\eta_t^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_0^t [b(\bar{x}_s, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) - \bar{b}(\bar{x}_s)] ds \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_0^t \tilde{b}(\bar{x}_s, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) ds.$$

Then Z_t^{ε} in (1.13) satisfies

(1.18)
$$\dot{Z}_t^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa} \dot{\eta}_t^{\varepsilon} + B(\bar{x}_t, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) Z_t^{\varepsilon} + \frac{r^2(X_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{x}_t)}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}}, \quad Z_0^{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

Our first result is a LDP for the family of random processes $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\eta_t^{\varepsilon}$. Let us assume the following conditions:

Condition B-1. There exists a matrix $A(x) = (A_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1,\dots,d}$ nonnegative definite, symmetric, bounded, continuous in x, invertible, such that for any step functions α , $\psi : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$,

(1.19)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{1-2\kappa} \ln E \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-\kappa}} \int_0^T \langle \alpha_s, \tilde{b}(\psi_s, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \rangle ds \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle A(\psi_s) \alpha_s, \alpha_s \rangle ds.$$

Condition B-2. $\exists t_0, 0 < t_0 \le 1$ and a function $\sigma(t) > 0$ with $\sigma(t) \to 0$ as $t \downarrow 0$ such that

(1.20)
$$\overline{\lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \downarrow 0 \\ 0 \le h \le 1 - \epsilon}}} \sup_{\substack{\varepsilon \le t \le t_0 \\ 0 \le h \le 1 - \epsilon}} \varepsilon^{1 - 2\kappa} \left\| \ln E \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1 - \kappa} \sigma(t)} \int_h^{h + t} \tilde{b}(\bar{x}_s, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \, ds \right\} \right\| =$$

$$= l_{+\infty} < +\infty.$$

Condition B-3. $\forall \Delta > 1 - 2\kappa, \forall \delta > 0$,

(1.21)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\Delta} \ln P \left\{ \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \left\| \varepsilon^{-\kappa} \int_{0}^{t} (B(\bar{x}_{s}, Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}) - \bar{B}(\bar{x}_{s})) \right. \right. \\ \left. \int_{0}^{s} e^{\int_{0}^{u} \bar{B}(\bar{x}_{v}) \, dv} . \tilde{b}(\bar{x}_{u}, Y_{u}^{\varepsilon}) \, du \, ds \right\| > \delta \right\} = -\infty.$$

Remark 1.1. Condition B-1 is equivalent to the existence of the limit in (1.19) for every continuous functions α and ψ .

In §3 we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1. Under conditions B-1 and B-2, the action functional for the family of random processes $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\eta_t^{\varepsilon}$ is given by $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}S_{0T}^1(\varphi)$, where

$$(1.22) S_{0T}^1(\varphi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle A^{-1}(\bar{x}_s)\dot{\varphi}_s, \dot{\varphi}_s \rangle ds, & \varphi \text{ a.c.} \\ +\infty, & \text{in the rest of } C_{[0,T]}(\mathbf{R}^d) \end{cases}$$

where $A^{-1}(x)$ is the inverse of A(x).

Theorem 1 is an extension of a result obtained by Gärtner [11]. He considered a family of random processes converging weakly, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, to a Wiener process in R. He established sufficient conditions for this family of random processes, conveniently rescaled, having the same action functional of the limit process in the new scale. In Theorem 1 we extend Gärtner's result in two ways: the space variable has dimension $d \geq 1$ and the family of random processes η_t^{ε} converges weakly, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, to a Gaussian process W_t^0 introduced in (1.8) if we assume the hypothesis for Khas'minskii's result being valid. It is worth to observe that the weak convergence above cited is not an hypothesis of Theorem 1. But if the matrix A(x) in (1.19) satisfies (1.9) then the action functional for $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}W_t^0$ is $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}S_{0T}^1(\varphi)$ (for action functionals for families of Gaussian processes see Freidlin & Wentzell [10]).

The main result in this paper is a LDP for the family $(Z_t^{\epsilon}: t \geq 0)$ in (1.13). In §4 we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2. If conditions B-1, B-2, and B-3 are satisfied then the action functional for $(Z_t^{\varepsilon}: t \geq 0)$ is given by $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}} S_{0T}(\varphi)$ with

$$(1.23) S_{0T}(\varphi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle A^{-1}(\bar{x}_s)(\dot{\varphi}_s - \bar{B}(\bar{x}_s)\varphi_s), (\dot{\varphi}_s - \bar{B}(\bar{x}_s)\varphi_s) \rangle ds, \\ if \varphi \text{ is a.c.} \\ +\infty, & \text{in the rest of } C_{[0,T]}(\mathbf{R}^d) \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{B}(x)$ satisfies (1.11).

Notice that (1.23) is also the normalized action functional for a Gaussian process ζ_t^{ϵ} satisfying the linear system

$$\dot{\zeta}_{t}^{\varepsilon} = \bar{B}(\bar{x}_{t}) \zeta_{t}^{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa} \sigma(\bar{x}_{t}) \dot{W}_{t}, \quad \zeta_{0}^{\varepsilon} = 0,$$

where $\sigma(x)\sigma^*(x) = A(x)$ and W_t is a Wiener process in \mathbb{R}^d starting at zero. This result may be found in [10], Chapter 3.

Using Theorem 2 we prove (1.14) and we also obtain exponential estimates for probabilities of "not very large deviations". Theorem 2 may be interpreted as follows: probabilities of deviations of order ε^{κ} of X_t^{ε} from \bar{x}_t have the same asymptotics as deviations of order 1 caused by the Gaussian process in (1.24). The asymptotics of probabilities of "not very large deviations" are essentially different of the corresponding to "large deviations". As in the case of "normal deviations", the study of deviations of order ε^{κ} is reduced to the study of deviations of the same order of the linearized system obtained from (1.16).

Now we sketch the proof of Theorem 2. Firstly we consider the linearized system

$$\dot{\tilde{\Upsilon}}_{t}^{\epsilon} = \tilde{b}(\tilde{x}_{t}, Y_{t}^{\epsilon}) + B(\tilde{x}_{t}, Y_{t}^{\epsilon}) \, \tilde{\Upsilon}_{t}^{\epsilon}, \quad \tilde{\Upsilon}_{0}^{\epsilon} = 0.$$

We prove that, if $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}S_{0T}(\varphi)$ is the action functional for $\frac{\check{\Upsilon}^{\epsilon}_{t}}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}}$ then it is the action functional for Z^{ϵ}_{t} . Then we take a simplified linearized system

$$\dot{\hat{\Upsilon}}_{t}^{\varepsilon} = \tilde{b}(\bar{x}_{t}, Y_{t}^{\varepsilon}) + \bar{B}(\bar{x}_{t}) \, \hat{\Upsilon}_{t}^{\varepsilon}, \quad \hat{\Upsilon}_{0}^{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

It turns out that, under Condition B-3, $\frac{\tilde{\Upsilon}^{\epsilon}}{\epsilon^{\kappa}}$ and $\frac{\hat{\Upsilon}^{\epsilon}}{\epsilon^{\kappa}}$ have the same action functional. Finally, using Theorem 1, we prove that $\frac{\tilde{\Upsilon}^{\epsilon}}{\epsilon^{\kappa}}$ has $\frac{1}{\epsilon^{1-2\kappa}}S_{0T}(\varphi)$ as its action functional.

In §5 we study the asymptotics of the solution for a class of reaction-diffusion equations depending on a small parameter $\varepsilon > 0$, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Using Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we prove that the solution converges to a function of the wave-type.

Solutions of wave-type for reaction-diffusion equations have been studied since 1930's by Kolmogorov, Petrovskii, Piskounov [14] (such equation is called KPP equation), Aronson & Weinberger [2], by using classical methods and later, after 1970, by Freidlin, Gärtner, McKean, and others, via stochastic approach. Freidlin [7] introduced a small parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ in the generalized KPP equation whose diffusion coefficient became small, of order ε . He described the wave front for the solution of certain class of problems, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, by using the Feynman-Kac formula and Large Deviations for some families of random processes.

Carmona [4] generalized Freidlin's work in one direction by introducing a "fast variable" y of order $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ in some initial-boundary value problems for the equation

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial u^{\varepsilon}(t,x,y)}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \frac{\partial^{2} u^{\varepsilon}(t,x,y)}{\partial y^{2}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} a(x,y) \frac{\partial^{2} u^{\varepsilon}(t,x,y)}{\partial x^{2}} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f(x,y,u^{\varepsilon}), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}, \quad |y| < a, \quad t > 0. \end{split}$$

In §5 of this paper, we consider problems of the type

(1.27)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u^{\epsilon}(t,x,y)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \frac{\partial^{2} u^{\epsilon}(t,x,y)}{\partial y^{2}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}} f(\varepsilon^{\kappa} x, y, u^{\epsilon}) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}} b(\varepsilon^{\kappa} x, y) \frac{\partial u^{\epsilon}(t,x,y)}{\partial x}, \\ x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}, \quad y \in (-a,a), \\ u^{\epsilon}(0,x,y) = g(x) \\ \frac{\partial u^{\epsilon}(t,x,y)}{\partial y}/y = \pm a = 0 \end{cases}$$

where $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{2}$, b(x,y) satisfies the conditions specified in the introduction of this paper, the initial function is nonnegative, and its support $G_0 \neq \mathbf{R}^d$, $[(G_0)] = [G_0]$ where [A] is the closure of A and (A) its interior. For each x, y, the nonlinear term f(x,y,u) belongs to the class \mathcal{F}_1 (see Freidlin [6]), i.e., $f(x,y,\cdot) \in C^1$, $c(x,y) = f'(x,y,0) = \sup_{0 \le u \le 1} \frac{f(x,y,u)}{u} > 0$, and $c(x,y,u) = \frac{f(x,y,u)}{u}$.

To analyze the solution $u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)$ of this type of problem we shall use the Feynman-Kac formula and "not very large deviations" for families of random processes as in (1.1) or, equivalently, large deviations for families of random processes as in (1.13) and (1.15). This is done, roughly speaking, in the following way: To the differential operator

(1.28)
$$L^{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{\kappa}} b(\epsilon^{\kappa} x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

it is associated a random process $(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}; P_{xy}^{\varepsilon})$ where

$$(1.29) X_t^{\varepsilon} = x + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}} \int_0^t b(\varepsilon^{\kappa} X_s^{\varepsilon}, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) ds, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

 $(Y_t; \bar{P}_y)$ is a Brownian motion on [-a, a] starting at $y \in (-a, a)$, with instantaneous reflection at $\pm a$, and $Y_t^{\epsilon} \equiv Y_{\frac{t}{\epsilon}}$. Notice that the diffusion coefficient of the variable y is of order $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$; so it is called "fast variable".

The Feynman-Kac formula allow us to express the solution of (1.27) as

$$(1.30) u^{\epsilon}(t, x, y) = E^{\epsilon}_{xy}g(X^{\epsilon}_{t}) \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\epsilon^{1-2\kappa}} \int_{0}^{t} c(\epsilon^{\kappa}X^{\epsilon}_{s}, Y^{\epsilon}_{s}, u^{\epsilon}(t-s, X^{\epsilon}_{s}, Y^{\epsilon}_{s})) ds \right\}.$$

Using the action functional for certain families of random processes as in (1.13) and (1.15) one can verify that $u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)$ converges, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, to a step function $u^{0}(t, x, y)$ given by

$$u^{0}(t, x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & V(t, x) > 0, & |y| \le a \\ 0, & V(t, x) < 0, & |y| \le a, \end{cases}$$

for some function V(t,x) which will be specified in §5.

2. Auxiliary Results

Proposition 2.1. If condition B-1 holds then $\forall x, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

(2.1)
$$\lim_{T \to +\infty} T^{2\kappa - 1} \ln E \exp \left\{ T^{-\kappa} \int_0^T \langle \alpha, \tilde{b}(x, Y_s) \rangle ds \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \langle A(x)\alpha, \alpha \rangle.$$

Proof: Condition B-1 implies that, $\forall x, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{1-2\kappa} \ln E \exp\left\{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-\kappa}} \int_0^T <\alpha, \tilde{b}(x,Y_{\frac{\varepsilon}{\epsilon}}) > ds\right\} = \\ = \frac{1}{2} T < A(x)\alpha, \alpha > . \end{split}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{1-2\kappa} \frac{1}{T} \ln \bar{E} \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-\kappa}} \int_0^T <\frac{\alpha}{T^\kappa}, \tilde{b}(x, Y_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}) > \, dt \right\} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{T^{2\kappa}} < A(x)\alpha, \alpha > \end{split}$$

The result follows by changing variables in the last equality.

The proof of the following proposition is similar to the one of Lemma 7.4.3 in [10] and we omit it.

Proposition 2.2. Suppose that $(Y_t; \bar{P}_y)$ is a homogeneous Markov process with values in a compact set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^l$ and (2.1) holds uniformly in the initial point $y \in (D)$, where (D) is the interior of D. Then Condition B-1 is satisfied.

Now we shall characterize a class of random processes $(Y_t : t \ge 0)$ which satisfies conditions **B-1** and **B-2**.

Lemma 2.1. Let $(Y_t; \bar{P}_y)$ be a homogeneous Markov process on the phase space $(D, \mathcal{B}(D))$, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^l$ compact, and $\mathcal{B}(D)$ the σ -field of the Borel subsets of D in the topology inherited from the Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^l . Assume conditions (L.1)-(L.5) in Theorem 2.2, [5]. Then Condition B-1 is satisfied.

Proof: Let us suppose that $\bar{b}(0) = 0$. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we introduce the semigroup of operators

$$T_t^{\alpha} f(y) = \bar{E}_y f(Y_t) \exp\left\{ \int_0^t <\alpha, b(0, Y_s) > ds \right\},$$

where f is a continuous numerical function on D.

From Theorem 2.2 in [5] we know that

(2.2)
$$\lim_{T \to +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \ln \bar{E}_y \exp \left\{ \int_0^T \langle \alpha, b(0, Y_s) \rangle ds \right\} = \lambda(\alpha),$$

where $\lambda(\alpha)$ is the maximal eigenvalue of \mathcal{A}^{α} , the infinitesimal generator of T_t^{α} . It is real and simple, the corresponding eigenvector ϕ is positive, and $||\phi|| = 1$. Moreover, $T_t^{\alpha}\phi(y) = e^{\lambda(\alpha)t}\phi(y)$.

From Theorem 7.1.8 in [10] we can write

$$\lambda(\alpha) = \lambda'(0) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^2 \lambda}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \alpha_j} (0) \alpha_i \alpha_j + o(\alpha^2).$$

Taking into account that 1 is the maximal eigenvalue of T_t^{α} for $\alpha = 0$, we have $\lambda(0) = 0$. On the other hand, from (2.2),

$$\lambda(\alpha) \ge \lim_{T \to +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \bar{E}_y \int_0^T \langle \alpha, b(0, Y_s) \rangle ds =$$

$$= \langle \alpha, \lim_{T \to +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \bar{E}_y b(0, Y_s) ds \rangle = \langle \alpha, \bar{b}(0) \rangle.$$

Since $\bar{b}(0) = 0$ we have $\lambda(\alpha) \geq 0$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Therefore $\lambda'(0) = 0$ and

(2.3)
$$\lambda(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^{2} \lambda}{\partial \alpha_{i} \partial \alpha_{j}} (0) \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} + o(\alpha^{2}).$$

Now, the compactness of D implies that $\exists K>0$ such that $0< K \leq \phi(y) \leq 1$, $\forall y \in D$. Then,

$$\begin{split} t^{2\kappa-1} \ln K + t^{2\kappa-1} \ln (T_t^{t^{-\kappa}\alpha} 1)(y) &\leq t^{2\kappa-1} \ln (T_t^{t^{-\kappa}\alpha} \phi)(y) = \\ &= t^{2\kappa} \lambda (t^{-\kappa}\alpha) + t^{2\kappa-1} \ln \phi(y) \leq t^{2\kappa-1} \ln (T_t^{t^{-\kappa}\alpha} 1)(y). \end{split}$$

Hence, using (2.3) we get

$$\begin{split} \lim_{t \to +\infty} t^{2\kappa - 1} \ln \left(T_t^{t^{-\kappa} \alpha} 1 \right) (y) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j = 1}^d \frac{\partial^2 \lambda}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \alpha_j} (0) \alpha_i \alpha_j \equiv \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{2} < A \alpha, \alpha > \end{split}$$

which is Condition B-1 in the case $\bar{b}(0) = 0$.

When the initial point in (1.3) is not an equilibrium point, the arguments are the same as above if one recall that

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \tilde{b}(x, Y_s) \, ds = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^d, \text{ w.p. } 1$$

and then $\lambda(x,\alpha) \geq 0$, $\forall x,\alpha$. The matrix A(x) in Condition B-1 is given by

(2.4)
$$A(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^{2} \lambda}{\partial \alpha_{i} \partial \alpha_{j}}(x,0) \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}.$$

Let $\psi \in C_{[0,T]}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be a step function, constant in the intervals $[\frac{j}{r}T,\frac{j+1}{r}T]$, $j=0,1,2,\cdots,r-1$. For each $\alpha=(\alpha^1,\cdots,\alpha^r)\in(\mathbf{R}^d)^r$, define

(2.5)
$$H^{\psi}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2r} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \langle A(\psi_{\frac{jT}{r}}) \alpha^{j+1}, \alpha^{j+1} \rangle.$$

The function in (2.5) is convex, $H^{\psi}(0) = 0$, it is lower semicontinuous, $H^{\psi}(\alpha) < +\infty$, $\forall \alpha$. Let $L^{\psi}(\beta)$ be its Legendre transform:

(2.6)
$$L^{\psi}(\beta) = \sup_{\alpha} \left\{ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle - H^{\psi}(\alpha) \right\} =$$
$$= \frac{r}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \langle A^{-1}(\psi_{iT})\beta^{j+1}, \beta^{j+1} \rangle, \quad \beta \in (\mathbf{R}^d)^r.$$

The function in (2.6) is convex, lower semicontinuous, assuming values in $(-\infty, +\infty]$, and it is not identically equal to $+\infty$.

Define for each s > 0,

(2.7)
$$\Phi^{r}(s) = \left\{ \beta \in (\mathbf{R}^{d})^{r} : L^{\psi}(\beta) \leq s \right\} =$$

$$= \left\{ \beta \in (\mathbf{R}^{d})^{r} : \frac{r}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \langle A^{-1}(\psi_{\frac{jT}{r}}) \beta^{j+1}, \beta^{j+1} \rangle \leq s \right\}.$$

The following proposition is similar to Theorem 5.1.1 in [10] and we omit its proof.

Proposition 2.3. $\forall \delta > 0, \forall s > 0, \exists \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^r$ such that

$$\Phi^r(s) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^N \left\{ \beta : <\alpha_i, \beta > -H^{\psi}(\alpha_i) \le s \right\} \subset \Phi^r_{+\delta}(s),$$

where $\Phi^r_{+\delta}(s) = \{\beta : dist(\beta, \Phi^r(s)) < \delta\}.$

Let us define, for each $x, \alpha \in \mathbf{R}^d$

(2.8)
$$H(x,\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \langle A(x)\alpha, \alpha \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} A_{ij}(x)\alpha_i\alpha_j.$$

This function is convex in the second argument and jointly continuous (by hypothesis A(x) is continuous). Let $L(x,\beta)$ be its Legendre transform:

(2.9)
$$L(x,\beta) = \sup_{\alpha} \{ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle - H(x,\alpha) \} = \frac{1}{2} \langle A^{-1}(x)\beta, \beta \rangle, \quad \beta \in \mathbf{R}^d.$$

This function is convex in β and jointly lower semicontinuous in all variables (see the proof of Lemma 4.1.7 in [10]).

Let us define

(2.10)
$$G_{\varepsilon}(\alpha) \equiv \ln \bar{E}_{y} \exp \left\{ \int_{0}^{T} \alpha_{t} d\eta_{t}^{\varepsilon} \right\} =$$

$$= \ln \bar{E}_{y} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_{0}^{T} \langle \alpha_{t}, \tilde{b}(\bar{x}_{t}, Y_{t}^{\varepsilon}) \rangle dt \right\}, \quad \alpha : [0, T] \to \mathbf{R}^{d}.$$

The process η_t^{ε} was introduced in (1.17). Condition B-1 may be written, for $\psi_t = \bar{x}_t$, as

(2.11)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{1-2\kappa} G_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}} \alpha \right) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \langle A(\bar{x}_{t}) \alpha_{t}, \alpha_{t} \rangle dt.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1

The following theorem is an extension of results obtained by Gärtner [11]. We shall use the same approach for proving it.

It is well known (see [10]) that the level sets of the functional $S_{0T}^1(\cdot)$ in (1.22) are compact sets. So condition (A.0) is verified. The following theorem gives us condition (A.I) (the lower bound).

Theorem 3.1. If conditions **B-1** and **B-2** are satisfied then $\forall \gamma > 0$, $\forall \delta > 0$, $\forall \varphi \in C_{[0,T]}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $\varphi_0 = 0$, $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$(3.1) P\{\|\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\eta^{\varepsilon}_{\cdot} - \varphi\| < \delta\} \ge \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\left[S^{1}_{0T}(\varphi) + \gamma\right]\right\}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_{0},$$

where η_t^{ϵ} is the process introduced in (1.17).

Proof: For simplifying notation we assume T=1. Let r>0 be an integer and $\bar{\eta}^{\varepsilon}_t$ be the random polygonal line with vertices at the points $\frac{j}{r}$ and $\bar{\eta}^{\varepsilon}_{\frac{j}{r}}=\eta^{\varepsilon}_{\frac{j}{r}}$, $j=1,\cdots,r$. Let $n\equiv n(\varepsilon)=r[\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}]$ and $\tilde{\eta}^{\varepsilon}_t$ be the random polygonal line with vertices at $\frac{j}{n}$ with $\tilde{\eta}^{\varepsilon}_{\frac{j}{n}}=\eta^{\varepsilon}_{\frac{j}{n}}$, $j=1,\cdots,n$. Notice that $\tilde{\eta}^{\varepsilon}_{\frac{j}{r}}=\bar{\eta}^{\varepsilon}_{\frac{j}{r}}$, $j=1,\cdots,r$.

Let $(Q_m)_{m=1,2,...}$ be a sequency of sets in $C_{[0,1]}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ which will be defined later. Then for any $\varphi \in C_{[0,1]}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$P\{\|\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\eta_{.}^{\varepsilon}-\varphi\|<\delta\} \geq$$

$$\geq P\left\{\|\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\tilde{\eta}_{.}^{\varepsilon}-\varphi\|<\frac{\delta}{2}\right\}-P\left\{\|\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\eta_{.}^{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\tilde{\eta}_{.}^{\varepsilon}\|\geq\frac{\delta}{2}\right\} \geq$$

$$\geq P\{\|\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\tilde{\eta}_{.}^{\varepsilon}-\varphi\|<\frac{\delta}{2}\}-P\{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\tilde{\eta}_{.}^{\varepsilon}\notin Q_{n(\varepsilon)}\}-$$

$$-P\{\|\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\eta_{.}^{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\tilde{\eta}_{.}^{\varepsilon}\|\geq\frac{\delta}{2}, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\tilde{\eta}_{.}^{\varepsilon}\in Q_{n(\varepsilon)}\} \equiv$$

$$\equiv I_{1}-I_{2}-I_{3}.$$

Since φ is continuous, then for r sufficiently large and $0 < \delta' < \frac{\delta}{2}$ we have

$$I_1 \equiv P\left\{ \|\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa} \bar{\eta}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \varphi\| < \frac{\delta}{2} \right\} \ge P\left\{ \max_{k=1, \cdots, r} \|\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa} \eta_{\frac{k}{r}}^{\varepsilon} - \varphi_{\frac{k}{r}}\| < \delta' \right\}.$$

Let $\varphi \in C_{[0,1]}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $S_{01}^1(\varphi) < +\infty$ and $\bar{\varphi}_t$ be the polygonal line with step $\frac{1}{r}$ such that $\bar{\varphi}_{\frac{k}{r}} = \varphi_{\frac{k}{r}}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, r$. Then, $\dot{\bar{\varphi}}_t$ is a step function. Let us define

(3.3)
$$\alpha(t,x) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta}(x, \dot{\bar{\varphi}}_t)$$

where $L(x,\beta)$ was introduced in (2.9). Then

(3.4)
$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \alpha}(x, \alpha(t, x)) = \dot{\bar{\varphi}}_t$$

where $H(x,\alpha)$ is given in (2.8). Since $\dot{\bar{\varphi}}_t$ is a step function then $\alpha(\cdot,x)$ is also a step function. Besides, $\alpha(t,x)$ is bounded because the matrix A(x) and $\dot{\bar{\varphi}}_t$ are bounded.

Now we apply Cramér's method by introducing a new probability measure \tilde{P}^{ε} defined by

$$\tilde{P}^{\varepsilon}(A) = E \mathcal{X}_A \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa}} \int_0^1 \alpha(t, \bar{x}_t) \, d\eta_t^{\varepsilon} - G_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa}} \alpha(\cdot, \bar{x}_{\cdot}) \right) \right\}$$

where $G_{\varepsilon}(\alpha)$ is given in (2.10). Hence,

$$P\left\{\max_{k=1,\cdots,r}\left\|\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\eta_{\frac{k}{r}}^{\varepsilon}-\varphi_{\frac{k}{r}}\right\|<\delta'\right\}=$$

$$=\tilde{E}^{\varepsilon}\mathcal{X}_{\left[\max_{k=1,\cdots,r}\left\|\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\eta_{\frac{k}{r}}^{\varepsilon}-\varphi_{\frac{k}{r}}\right\|<\delta'\right]}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}}\int_{0}^{1}\alpha(t,\bar{x}_{t})\,d\eta_{t}^{\varepsilon}+\right.$$

$$\left.\left(3.5\right) + G_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}}\alpha(\cdot,\bar{x}_{\cdot})\right)\right\}=$$

$$=\tilde{E}^{\varepsilon}\mathcal{X}_{\left[\max_{k=1,\cdots,r}\left\|\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\eta_{\frac{k}{r}}^{\varepsilon}-\varphi_{\frac{k}{r}}\right\|<\delta'\right]}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\alpha(t,\bar{x}_{t})\,d(\eta_{t}^{\varepsilon}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}}\bar{\varphi}_{t})\right]\right\}\times$$

$$\times\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\alpha(t,\bar{x}_{t})\,\dot{\bar{\varphi}}_{t}\,dt-\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}G_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}}\alpha(\cdot,\bar{x}_{\cdot})\right)\right]\right\}.$$

From Condition B-1 and (2.8) we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{1-2\kappa} G_{\epsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}} \alpha(\cdot, \bar{x}_{\cdot}) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \langle A(\bar{x}_{t}) \alpha(t, \bar{x}_{t}), \alpha(t, \bar{x}_{t}) \rangle dt =$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} H(\bar{x}_{t}, \alpha(t, \bar{x}_{t})) dt.$$

Then $\forall \gamma > 0$, $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}} \left[\int_0^1 H(\bar{x}_t, \alpha(t, \bar{x}_t) \, dt - \frac{\gamma}{3} \right] < G_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}} \alpha(\cdot, \bar{x}_\cdot) \right) < \\ &< \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}} \left[\int_0^1 H(\bar{x}_t, \alpha(t, \bar{x}_t)) \, dt + \frac{\gamma}{3} \right], \quad 0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0. \end{split}$$

Since $\alpha(t,x)\dot{\bar{\varphi}}_t-H(x,\alpha(t,x))=L(x,\dot{\bar{\varphi}}_t)$ and taking into account that

$$\int_0^1 L(\bar{x}_t, \dot{\bar{\varphi}}_t) dt \le \int_0^1 L(\bar{x}_t, \dot{\varphi}_t) dt,$$

the second exponential in (3.5) is greater than

$$\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\left[\int_0^1 L(\bar{x}_t,\dot{\varphi}_t)\,dt + \frac{\gamma}{3}\right]\right\}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0.$$

On the other hand, if $\max_{k=1,\cdots,r} |\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa} \eta_{\frac{k}{r}}^{\epsilon} - \varphi_{\frac{k}{r}}| < \delta$ ", $0 < \delta$ " $< \delta'$ and δ " sufficiently small, then we have

$$\int_0^1 \alpha(t, \bar{x}_t) d(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa} \eta_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{\varphi}_t) < \frac{\gamma}{3}$$

because $\alpha(t, \bar{x}_t)$ is bounded. Hence, returning to (3.5), we obtain

(3.6)
$$I_{1} \geq \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\frac{\gamma}{3}\right\} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\left[\int_{0}^{1}L(\bar{x}_{t},\dot{\varphi}_{t})dt + \frac{\gamma}{3}\right]\right\} \times \tilde{P}^{\varepsilon}\left\{\max_{k=1,\cdots,r}\left\|\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\eta_{\frac{k}{r}}^{\varepsilon} - \varphi_{\frac{k}{r}}\right\| < \delta^{"}\right\}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}.$$

Now we shall prove that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \tilde{P}^{\varepsilon} \left\{ \max_{k=1, \dots, r} \| \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa} \eta_{\frac{k}{r}}^{\varepsilon} - \varphi_{\frac{k}{r}} \| \ge \delta'' \right\} = 0.$$

For this, it is sufficient to show that for $t \in \{\frac{1}{r}, \frac{2}{r}, \cdots, \frac{r}{r}\}$, the following relations are valid:

(3.7)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \tilde{P}^{\varepsilon} \{ \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa} \eta_{t}^{\varepsilon, i} - \bar{\varphi}_{t}^{i} - \delta^{"} \ge 0 \} = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \tilde{P}^{\varepsilon} \{ -\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa} \eta_{t}^{\varepsilon, i} + \bar{\varphi}_{t}^{i} - \delta^{"} \ge 0 \} = 0, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, d.$$

From the Chebyshev's exponential inequality we can write, for all $\gamma^* > 0$,

$$\begin{split} &\tilde{P}^{\varepsilon} \left\{ \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa} \eta_{t}^{\varepsilon, i} - \bar{\varphi}_{t}^{i} - \delta^{"} \geq 0 \right\} \leq \tilde{E}^{\varepsilon} \exp \left\{ \frac{\gamma^{*}}{\varepsilon^{1 - 2\kappa}} [\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa} \eta_{t}^{\varepsilon, i} - \bar{\varphi}_{t}^{i} - \delta^{"}] \right\} = \\ &= E^{\varepsilon} \exp \left\{ \frac{\gamma^{*}}{\varepsilon^{1 - 2\kappa}} [\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa} \eta_{t}^{\varepsilon, i} - \bar{\varphi}_{t}^{i} - \delta^{"}] \right\} \times \\ &\times \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa}} \int_{0}^{1} \alpha(s, \bar{x}_{s}) d\eta_{s}^{\varepsilon} - G_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa}} \alpha(\cdot, \bar{x}_{s}) \right) \right\} = \\ &= E^{\varepsilon} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa}} \int_{0}^{1} [\alpha(s, \bar{x}_{s}) + \gamma^{*} \mathcal{X}_{[0, t]} e^{(i)}] d\eta_{s}^{\varepsilon} - \frac{\gamma^{*}}{\varepsilon^{1 - 2\kappa}} (\bar{\varphi}_{t}^{i} + \delta^{"}) - \right. \\ &\left. - G_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa}} \alpha(\cdot, \bar{x}_{s}) \right) \right\} \end{split}$$

where $e^{(i)}$ is the component of order i of the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^d . Hence,

$$(3.8) \tilde{P}^{\varepsilon} \left\{ \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa} \eta_{t}^{\varepsilon, i} - \bar{\varphi}_{t}^{i} - \delta^{"} \geq 0 \right\} \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1 - 2\kappa}} \left[\varepsilon^{1 - 2\kappa} G_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa}} \alpha(\cdot, \bar{x}_{\cdot}) \right) - \varepsilon^{1 - 2\kappa} G_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa}} \left[\alpha(\cdot, \bar{x}_{\cdot}) + \gamma^{*} \mathcal{X}_{[0, t]} e^{(i)} \right] \right) + \gamma^{*} (\bar{\varphi}_{t}^{i} + \delta^{"}) \right] \right\}$$

From Condition B-1,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{1-2\kappa} G_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}} \alpha(\cdot, \bar{x}_{\cdot}) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \langle A(\bar{x}_{s}) \alpha(s, \bar{x}_{s}), \alpha(s, \bar{x}_{s}) \rangle ds.$$

But

$$\alpha(s, \bar{x}_s) + \gamma^* \mathcal{X}_{[0,t]} e^{(i)} = \begin{cases} \alpha(s, \bar{x}_s) + \gamma^* e^{(i)}, & \text{if } s \leq t \\ \alpha(s, \bar{x}_s), & \text{if } s > t. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int_{0}^{1} \langle A(\bar{x}_{s})(\alpha(s,\bar{x}_{s}) + \gamma^{*} \mathcal{X}_{[0,t]} e^{(i)}), (\alpha(s,\bar{x}_{s}) + \gamma^{*} \mathcal{X}_{[0,t]} e^{(i)}) \rangle ds =$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \langle A(\bar{x}_{s})\alpha(s,\bar{x}_{s}), \alpha(s,\bar{x}_{s}) \rangle ds + 2 \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{d} A_{ij}(\bar{x}_{s})\alpha^{j}(s,\bar{x}_{s})\gamma^{*} ds +$$

$$+ \gamma^{*2} \int_{0}^{t} A_{ii}(\bar{x}_{s}) ds.$$

Hence, Condition B-1 implies that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{1-2\kappa} G_{\epsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}} [\alpha(\cdot, \bar{x}_{\cdot}) + \gamma^{*} \mathcal{X}_{[0,t]} e^{(i)}] \right) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \langle A(\bar{x}_{s}) \alpha(s, \bar{x}_{s}), \alpha(s, \bar{x}_{s}) \rangle ds +$$

$$+ \gamma^{*} \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{d} A_{ij}(\bar{x}_{s}) \alpha^{j}(s, \bar{x}_{s}) ds + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{*2} \int_{0}^{t} A_{ii}(\bar{x}_{s}) ds.$$

Therefore, the expression in brackets in (3.8) converges, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, to

$$(3.9) \qquad -\gamma^* \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^d A_{ji}(\bar{x}_s) \alpha^j(s, \bar{x}_s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{*2} \int_0^t A_{ii}(\bar{x}_s) ds + \gamma^* (\bar{\varphi}_t^i + \delta^*) =$$

$$= \gamma^* \left[\delta^* + \bar{\varphi}_t^i - \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^d A_{ji}(\bar{x}_s) \alpha^j(s, \bar{x}_s) ds - \frac{\gamma^*}{2} \int_0^t A_{ii}(\bar{x}_s) ds \right].$$

We should find $\gamma^* > 0$ such that the above expression be strictly positive. For such γ^* we get (3.7) from (3.8).

Notice that

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \alpha^k}(x,\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^d A_{ik}(x) \,\alpha^i$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \alpha^k \partial \alpha^l}(x, \alpha) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha^l} \left[\sum_{i=1}^d A_{ik}(x) \alpha^i \right] = A_{lk}(x).$$

From (3.4) we have

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \alpha^k}(x, \alpha(t, x)) = \sum_{i=1}^d A_{ik}(x) \alpha^i(t, x) = \dot{\varphi}_t^k.$$

Therefore the limit in (3.9) reduces to

$$\gamma^* \left[\delta^n - \frac{\gamma^*}{2} \int_0^t A_{ii}(\bar{x}_s) ds \right].$$

From the hypothesis on A(x) we have $\int_0^t A_{ii}(\bar{x}_s) ds \ge 0$. We choose $\gamma^* > 0$ such that $\delta^* - \frac{\gamma^*}{2} \int_0^t A_{ii}(\bar{x}_s) ds > 0$.

Now we can say that $\forall \bar{\gamma} > 0$, $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\tilde{P}^{\varepsilon}\{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\eta_{t}^{\varepsilon,i} - \bar{\varphi}_{t}^{i} - \delta^{"} \geq 0\} \leq \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\left[\gamma^{*}\delta^{"} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{*2}\int_{0}^{t}A_{ii}(\bar{x}_{s})\,ds - \bar{\gamma}\right]\right\},$$

for all $0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$. Choose $0 < \bar{\gamma} < \gamma^* \delta^* - \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{*2} \int_0^t A_{ii}(\bar{x}_s) ds$ and we get

$$\tilde{P}^{\varepsilon}\{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\eta_{t}^{\varepsilon,i}-\bar{\varphi}_{t}^{i}-\delta"\geq0\}\leq\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}C\right\},\quad 0<\varepsilon\leq\varepsilon_{0},$$

for some C>0. Then, $\forall \delta>0$, $\exists \varepsilon_0>0$ such that

$$\tilde{P}^{\varepsilon} \left\{ \max_{k=1,\cdots,r} \| \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa} \eta_{\frac{k}{r}}^{\varepsilon} - \varphi_{\frac{k}{r}} \| < \delta^{"} \right\} > 1 - \delta, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_{0}.$$

But, for ε sufficiently small, $1-\delta > \exp\left\{-\frac{\frac{\gamma}{3}}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\right\}$. Therefore, returning to (3.6), we conclude that $\forall \gamma > 0$, $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$I_1 > \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}} \left[S_{01}^1(\varphi) + \gamma \right] \right\}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0.$$

For estimating

$$I_2 \equiv P\left\{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\tilde{\eta}^{\varepsilon}_{\cdot} \notin Q_{n(\varepsilon)}\right\}$$

we shall use arguments analogous to the ones in Gärtner [11]. In what follows, we outline them.

For each number I > 0 there exists a monotonic function $\Gamma(t)$ with $\Gamma(t) \downarrow 0$ as $t \downarrow 0$ such that

$$\inf_{0 < t \le t_0} \frac{\Gamma(t)}{\sigma(t)\sqrt{d}} - l_{\infty} > I,$$

where t_0 , l_{∞} , and $\sigma(t)$ come from Condition B-2 in (1.20). We choose r > 0 sufficiently large such that $\Gamma(\frac{1}{r}) < \frac{\delta}{12}$ and $\frac{1}{r} < t_0$.

Define

(3.10)
$$Q_n = \bigcap_{j=1}^{[nt_0]} \bigcap_{k=0}^{n-j} \left\{ f \in C_{[0,1]}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \left\| f(\frac{k+j}{n}) - f(\frac{k}{n}) \right\| < \Gamma(\frac{j}{n}) \right\}.$$

Let $n(\varepsilon) = r[\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}]$. Then

$$\begin{split} I_2 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{[nt_0]} \sum_{k=0}^{n-j} P\left\{ \left\| \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa} \eta_{\frac{k+j}{n}}^{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa} \eta_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\varepsilon} \right\| \geq \Gamma(\frac{j}{n}) \right\} \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{[nt_0]} \sum_{k=0}^{n-j} \sum_{i=1}^{d} P\left\{ \left| \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa} \eta_{\frac{k+j}{n}}^{\varepsilon,i} - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa} \eta_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\varepsilon,i} \right| > \frac{\Gamma(\frac{j}{n})}{\sqrt{d}} \right\}. \end{split}$$

From the Chebyshev's inequality we obtain

$$I_{2} \leq d n^{2}(\varepsilon) t_{0} 2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}} \left[\inf_{0 \leq t \leq t_{0}} \frac{\Gamma(t)}{\sigma(t)\sqrt{d}} - \sup_{\substack{\varepsilon < t \leq t_{0} \\ 0 < h \leq 1-t}} \varepsilon^{1-2\kappa} \left\| \ln E \exp \left\{ \pm \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\sigma(t)} \int_{h}^{h+t} d\eta_{s}^{\varepsilon,i} \right\} \right\| \right] \right\}.$$

But $n^2(\varepsilon) = (r[\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}])^2 \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}$, $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} = \exp\{-\frac{2\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa} \ln \varepsilon}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\}$ and $\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa} \ln \varepsilon \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Then, as in Gärtner [11], we may conclude that

$$I_{2} \leq 2 d t_{0} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}} (2\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa} \ln \varepsilon)\right\} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}} \left[\inf_{0 < t \leq t_{0}} \frac{\Gamma(t)}{\sigma(t)\sqrt{d}} - l_{\infty} - \frac{I}{2}\right]\right\} \leq \exp \left\{-\frac{I}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\right\}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}.$$

For estimating

$$I_3 \equiv P \left\{ ||\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa} \eta_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa} \bar{\eta}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}|| > \frac{\delta}{2}, \, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa} \tilde{\eta}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} \in Q_{n(\varepsilon)} \right\},\,$$

as in Gärtner [11], one can prove that $\forall I>0\,,\ \exists \varepsilon_0>0$ such that

$$I_3 < \exp\left\{-\frac{I}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\right\}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0.$$

Returning to (3.2) the result follows.

Now we shall prove the upper bound (A.II).

Theorem 3.2. $\forall \delta > 0$, $\forall \gamma > 0$, $\forall s > 0$, $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$(3.11) P\left\{\rho_{0T}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\eta_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon},\Phi(s)\right)>\delta\right\}<\exp\left\{-\frac{s-\gamma}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\right\}, \quad 0<\varepsilon\leq\varepsilon_{0},$$

where

$$\Phi(s) = \left\{ \varphi \in C_{[0,T]}(\mathbf{R}^d) : S^1_{0T}(\varphi) \le s, \ \varphi_0 = 0 \right\}$$

and $S_{0T}^1(\varphi)$ is defined in (1.22).

Proof: Again we take T=1.

$$P\left\{\rho_{01}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\eta_{.}^{\varepsilon},\Phi(s)\right)>\delta\right\} \leq$$

$$\leq P\left\{\rho_{01}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\bar{\eta}_{.}^{\varepsilon},\Phi(s)\right)>\frac{\delta}{2}\right\}+P\left\{\left\|\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\eta_{.}^{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\bar{\eta}_{.}^{\varepsilon}\right\|\leq\frac{\delta}{2}\right\} \leq$$

$$\leq P\left\{\rho_{01}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\bar{\eta}_{.}^{\varepsilon},\Phi(s)\right)>\frac{\delta}{2}\right\}+P\left\{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\tilde{\eta}_{.}^{\varepsilon}\notin Q_{n(\varepsilon)}\right\}+$$

$$+P\left\{\left\|\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\eta_{.}^{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\bar{\eta}_{.}^{\varepsilon}\right\|>\frac{\delta}{2},\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\tilde{\eta}_{.}^{\varepsilon}\in Q_{n(\varepsilon)}\right\}\equiv$$

$$\equiv I_{4}+I_{2}+I_{3},$$

where $Q_{n(\varepsilon)}$ is defined in (3.10).

We see in the prooof of Theorem 3.1 that for all I>0, there exists a sequence $\{Q_m\}_{m=1,2,\cdots}$, a number r, and $\varepsilon_0>0$ such that

(3.13)
$$I_2 + I_3 < \exp\left\{-\frac{I}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\right\}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0.$$

Now we shall estimate

$$I_4 \equiv P\left\{\rho_{01}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\bar{\eta}^{\epsilon}_{\cdot},\Phi(s)\right) > \frac{\delta}{2}\right\}.$$

It is known that $L(x,\beta)$ is jointly semicontinuous in all variables. Then, the functional

$$\int_0^1 L(\psi_s, \dot{\varphi}_s) \, ds = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \langle A^{-1}(\psi_s) \dot{\varphi}_s, \dot{\varphi}_s \rangle \, ds$$

is lower semicontinuous in ψ and φ . Let $\psi^n \to \psi$ as $n \to +\infty$. Then, for φ fixed and using Fatou's Lemma,

$$\underline{\lim_{n\to+\infty}} \int_0^1 L(\psi_s^n; \dot{\varphi}_s) \, ds \ge \int_0^1 \underline{\lim_{n\to+\infty}} L(\psi_s^n; \dot{\varphi}_s) \, ds \ge \int_0^1 L(\psi_s; \dot{\varphi}_s) \, ds.$$

Then, $\forall \Delta > 0$, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that if $||\bar{x}| - \psi|| < \delta$,

$$(3.14) \qquad \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \langle A^{-1}(\psi_{s})\dot{\varphi}_{s}, \dot{\varphi}_{s} \rangle ds > \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \langle A^{-1}(\bar{x}_{t})\dot{\varphi}_{s}, \dot{\varphi}_{s} \rangle ds - \Delta.$$

We choose ψ as a step function with $\psi_{\frac{j}{r}}=\bar{x}_{\frac{j}{r}}$, $j=0,\cdots,r-1$ satisfying $\|\bar{x}_{\cdot}-\psi\|<\delta$ and we define

$$\Phi^{\psi}(s) = \left\{ \varphi \in C_{[0,1]}(\mathbf{R}^d) : \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \langle A^{-1}(\psi_t) \dot{\varphi}_t, \dot{\varphi}_t \rangle \right\} dt \le s.$$

Since $\Phi^{\psi}(s-\Delta) \subset \Phi(s)$ we have

$$P\left\{\rho_{01}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\bar{\eta}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon},\Phi(s)\right)>\frac{\delta}{2}\right\}\leq P\left\{\rho_{01}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\bar{\eta}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon},\Phi^{\psi}(s-\Delta)\right)>\frac{\delta}{2}\right\}\equiv\mathcal{P}.$$

Define

$$\lambda^{\epsilon} = (\lambda_1^{\epsilon}, \cdots, \lambda_r^{\epsilon}) = \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa} (\bar{\eta}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\epsilon}, \bar{\eta}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\epsilon} - \bar{\eta}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\epsilon}, \bar{\eta}_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\epsilon} - \bar{\eta}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\epsilon}, \cdots, \bar{\eta}_{\frac{r}{r}}^{\epsilon} - \bar{\eta}_{\frac{r-1}{r}}^{\epsilon}).$$

Notice that $\lambda^{\epsilon} \in (\mathbf{R}^d)^r$. Fix $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^r) \in (\mathbf{R}^d)^r$. Then,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{1-2\kappa} \ln E \exp\left\{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}} < \alpha, \lambda^{\varepsilon} > \right\} =$$

$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{1-2\kappa} \ln E \exp\left\{\varepsilon^{\kappa-1} \sum_{j=1}^{r} < \alpha^{j}, \int_{\frac{j-1}{2}}^{\frac{j}{r}} \tilde{b}(\bar{x}_{t}, Y_{t}^{\varepsilon}) dt > \right\} =$$

$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{1-2\kappa} \ln E \exp\left\{\varepsilon^{\kappa-1} \int_{0}^{1} < \alpha_{t}, \tilde{b}(\bar{x}_{t}, Y_{t}^{\varepsilon}) > dt \right\} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} < A(\bar{x}_{t})\alpha_{t}, \alpha_{t} > dt,$$

where the last equality follows from Condition B-1 and $\alpha_t = \alpha^j$, $\frac{j-1}{r} \le t < \frac{j}{r}$, $j=1,\cdots,r$. Now,

$$\mathcal{P} \leq P\left\{\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \langle A^{-1}(\psi_t)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\dot{\eta}_t^{\epsilon}, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\dot{\eta}_t^{\epsilon} \rangle dt > s - \Delta\right\}.$$

From (2.6) and (2.7) we get

$$\mathcal{P} \le P\left\{L^{\psi}(\lambda^{\varepsilon}) > s - \Delta\right\} \le P\left\{\lambda^{\varepsilon} \notin \Phi^{r}(s - \Delta)\right\}.$$

Since $\Phi^r(s-2\Delta) \subset \Phi^r(s-\Delta)$, $\partial \Phi^r(s-\Delta) = \{\beta \in (\mathbf{R}^d)^r : L^{\psi}(\beta) = s-\Delta\}$ is a compact set, and $\Phi^r(s-2\Delta) \cap \partial \Phi^r(s-\Delta) = \emptyset$, we have $d \equiv dist(\phi^r(s-2\Delta), \partial \Phi^r(s-\Delta)) > 0$. Then, from Proposition 2.3 and Chebyshev's inequality, there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \in (\mathbf{R}^d)^r$ such that

$$\mathcal{P} \leq P\left\{\lambda^{\varepsilon} \notin \Phi^{r}(s-\Delta)\right\} \leq P\left\{\rho(\lambda^{\varepsilon}, \Phi^{r}(s-2\Delta)) \geq d\right\} \leq$$

$$\leq P\left\{\lambda^{\varepsilon} \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \left\{\beta : <\alpha_{i}, \beta > -H^{\psi}(\alpha_{i}) > s - 2\Delta\right\}\right\} \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} P\left\{\exp\left\{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\left[<\alpha_{i}, \lambda^{\varepsilon} > -H^{\psi}(\alpha_{i})\right]\right\} > \exp\left\{\frac{s-2\Delta}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\right\}\right\} \leq$$

$$(3.16) \qquad \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \exp\left\{\frac{s-2\Delta}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\right\} E \exp\left\{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\left[<\alpha_{i}, \lambda^{\varepsilon} > -H^{\psi}(\alpha_{i})\right]\right\} =$$

$$= \exp\left\{-\frac{s-2\Delta}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\right\} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \exp\left\{-\frac{H^{\psi}(\alpha_{i})}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\right\}$$

$$\exp\left\{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\left[\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa} \ln E \exp\left\{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}} < \alpha_{i}, \lambda^{\varepsilon} >\right\}\right]\right\}.$$

From (3.15) we know that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{1-2\kappa} \ln E \exp\left\{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}} < \alpha_i, \lambda^{\varepsilon} > \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 < A(\bar{x}_t) \alpha_{t,i}, \alpha_{t,i} > dt,$$

where $\alpha_{t,i}=\alpha_i^j$, $\frac{j-1}{r}\leq t\leq \frac{j}{r}$, $j=1,\cdots,r$. Since $\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1< A(\psi_t)\alpha_t,\alpha_t>dt$ is lower semicontinuous in ψ , we take the same step function ψ_t (with $\psi_{\frac{j}{r}}=\bar{x}_{\frac{j}{r}}$, $j=0,\cdots,r-1$), and we write for every $\gamma>0$,

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{1-2\kappa} \ln E \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}} < \alpha_i, \lambda^{\varepsilon} > \right\} < \\ < \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 < A(\psi_t) \alpha_{t,i}, \alpha_{t,i} > dt + \Delta = \\ = H^{\psi}(\alpha_i) + \Delta < H^{\psi}(\alpha_i) + \frac{\gamma}{4}, \end{split}$$

for $\Delta > 0$ sufficiently small. Returning to (3.16) we get

$$\mathcal{P} \leq \exp\left\{-\frac{s-2\Delta}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\right\} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \exp\left\{-\frac{H^{\psi}(\alpha_{i})}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\right\} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}} \left[H^{\psi}(\alpha_{i}) + \gamma\right]\right\} =$$

$$= \exp\left\{-\frac{s}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\right\} \exp\left\{\frac{2\Delta}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\right\} N \exp\left\{\frac{\frac{\gamma}{4}}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\right\} \leq$$

$$\leq \exp\left\{-\frac{s-\frac{\gamma}{2}}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\right\}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}.$$

and we can say that $\forall \gamma > 0$, $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

(3.17)
$$I_4 \le \exp\left\{-\frac{s - \frac{\gamma}{2}}{\varepsilon^{1 - 2\kappa}}\right\}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0.$$

Therefore, by taking $I = s - \frac{\gamma}{2}$ in (3.13), there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$P\left\{\rho_{01}\left(\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}\eta_{.}^{\varepsilon},\Phi(s)\right)>\delta\right\} \leq I_{4}+I_{2}+I_{3} \leq$$

$$\leq \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}(s-\gamma)\right\}, \quad 0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}.$$

Remark 3.1. A(x) being Lipschitz continuous, there exists a matrix $\sigma(x)$ such that $A(x) = \sigma(x)\sigma^*(x)$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$. Define $W_t^0 = \int_0^t \sigma(\bar{x}_s) dW_s$ where W_t is a d-dimensional Wiener process starting at zero and \bar{x}_t is the function introduced in (1.3), W_t^0 is a Gaussian process with independent increments, $EW_t^0 = 0$, and correlation matrix $(R^{ij}(t))_{i,j=1,\cdots,d}$ given by

$$R^{ij}(t) = EW_t^{0,i}W_t^{0,j} = \int_0^t A_{ij}(\bar{x}_s) ds.$$

It is known (see [10]) that the action functional for $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}W_t^0$ is given by $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}S_{0T}^1(\varphi)$ where $S_{0T}^1(\varphi)$ is given by (1.22). Then, from theorems 3.1 and 3.2 we conclude that $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\eta_t^{\varepsilon}$ and $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}W_t^0$ have the same action functional. Recall that under Khas'minskii's conditions, η_t^{ε} converges weakly to W_t^0 where A(x) satisfies (1.9).

Remark 3.2. When $\bar{b}(0) = 0$, Theorem 1 gives the normalized action functional for $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\eta_t^{\varepsilon} = \int_0^t \frac{f(Y_t^{\varepsilon}) ds}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}} ds$ which is

$$S^1_{0T}(\varphi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T < A^{-1} \dot{\varphi}_s, \dot{\varphi}_s > ds, & \varphi \text{ a.c.} \\ +\infty, & \text{in the rest of } C_{[0,T]}(\mathbf{R}^d), \end{cases}$$

with normalizing coefficient $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}$ where A is the matrix in Condition B-1. It is easy to verify that the action functional for the family of processes

$$V_t^{\varepsilon} = x + \frac{\int_0^t f(Y_s^{\varepsilon}) ds}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^d$$

is also given by $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}S^1_{0T}(\varphi)$. However, in this case, the level sets are

$$\Phi(s) = \{ \varphi \in C_{[0,T]}(\mathbf{R}^d) : S_{0T}^1(\varphi) < s, \, \varphi_0 = x \}.$$

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Now we shall prove Theorem 2 in the most general situation, when the initial point is not necessarily an equilibrium point for the system (1.3).

We consider X_t^{ε} satisfying (1.1) with $X_0^{\varepsilon} = x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and \bar{x}_t the solution of (1.3). Then

$$(4.1) X_t^{\varepsilon} = x + \int_0^t b(X_s^{\varepsilon}, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \, ds.$$

We define

$$\Upsilon_t^{\varepsilon} = \int_0^t [b(X_s^{\varepsilon}, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) - \bar{b}(\bar{x}_s)] ds.$$

The process Z_t^{ε} in (1.13) can be written as

$$Z^{\varepsilon}_t = \frac{\Upsilon^{\varepsilon}_t}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}}, \quad \ 0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{2}.$$

We define

$$\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon} = \frac{\tilde{\Upsilon}_t^{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}}$$
 and $\hat{Z}_t^{\varepsilon} = \frac{\hat{\Upsilon}_t^{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}}$

where $\tilde{\Upsilon}_t^{\varepsilon}$ and $\hat{\Upsilon}_t^{\varepsilon}$ were introduced in (1.25) and (1.26).

First we recall that the action functional for $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}\eta^{\varepsilon}_t$ with η^{ε}_t given in (1.17) is $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}S^1_{0T}(\varphi)$ with S^1_{0T} given in (1.22). The contraction principle implies that the normalized action functional for \hat{Z}^{ε}_t is $S_{0T}(\varphi)$ in (1.23) with normalizing coefficient $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}$. Now we shall prove that \hat{Z}^{ε}_t and $\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon}_t$ have the same action functional.

Proposition 4.1. If Condition B-3 in (1.21) holds then \hat{Z}_t^{ε} and $\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon}$ have the same action functional.

Proof: Given $\gamma > 0$, $\delta > 0$, and $\varphi \in C_{[0,T]}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $\varphi_0 = x$,

$$P\{\|\tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \varphi\| < \delta\} \ge P\{\|\tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}\| < \frac{\delta}{2}, \|\hat{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \varphi\| < \frac{\delta}{2}\} \ge$$

$$\ge P\{\|\hat{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \varphi\| < \frac{\delta}{2}\} - P\{\|\tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \hat{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}\| \ge \frac{\delta}{2}\} \equiv$$

$$\equiv I_{1} - I_{2}.$$

Since $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}S_{0T}(\varphi)$ in (1.23) is the action functional for \hat{Z}_t^{ε} , $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$I_1 \ge \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}[S_{0T}(\varphi) + \gamma]\right\}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0.$$

For estimating I_2 we recall that the processes $\tilde{\Upsilon}_t^{\varepsilon}$ and $\hat{\Upsilon}_t^{\varepsilon}$ satisfy the linear differential equations

$$\begin{split} \dot{\tilde{\Upsilon}}_{t}^{\varepsilon} - B(\bar{x}_{t}, Y_{t}^{\varepsilon}) \, \tilde{\Upsilon}_{t}^{\varepsilon} &= \tilde{b}(\bar{x}_{t}, Y_{t}^{\varepsilon}), \quad \text{and} \\ \dot{\tilde{\Upsilon}}_{t}^{\varepsilon} - \bar{B}(\bar{x}_{t}) \, \hat{\Upsilon}_{t}^{\varepsilon} &= \tilde{b}(\bar{x}_{t}, Y_{t}^{\varepsilon}), \end{split}$$

which implies that

$$\tilde{\Upsilon}_t^{\varepsilon} = \exp\left\{ \int_0^t B(\bar{x}_s, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \, ds \right\} \int_0^t \exp\left\{ -\int_0^s B(\bar{x}_u, Y_u^{\varepsilon}) \, du \right\} \tilde{b}(\bar{x}_s, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \, ds$$
and

$$\hat{\Upsilon}_t^{\varepsilon} = \exp\left\{ \int_0^t \bar{B}(\bar{x}_s) \, ds \right\} \int_0^t \exp\left\{ - \int_0^s \bar{B}(\bar{x}_u) \, du \right\} \tilde{b}(\bar{x}_s, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \, ds.$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} |\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon}_{t} - \hat{Z}^{\varepsilon}_{t}| &= \left| \int_{0}^{t} B(\bar{x}_{s}, Y^{\varepsilon}_{s}) \, \tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon}_{s} \, ds - \int_{0}^{t} \bar{B}(\bar{x}_{s}) \hat{Z}^{\varepsilon}_{s} \, ds \right| \leq \\ &\leq \left| \int_{0}^{t} B(\bar{x}_{s}, Y^{\varepsilon}_{s}) \tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon}_{s} \, ds - \int_{0}^{t} B(\bar{x}_{s}, Y^{\varepsilon}_{s}) \hat{Z}^{\varepsilon}_{s} \, ds \right| + \\ &+ \left| \int_{0}^{t} B(\bar{x}_{s}, Y^{\varepsilon}_{s}) \hat{Z}^{\varepsilon}_{s} \, ds - \int_{0}^{t} \bar{B}(\bar{x}_{s}) \hat{Z}^{\varepsilon}_{s} \, ds \right| \leq \\ &\leq K \int_{0}^{t} |\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon}_{s} - \hat{Z}^{\varepsilon}_{s}| \, ds + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}} \left| \int_{0}^{t} \left(B(\bar{x}_{s}, Y^{\varepsilon}_{s}) - \bar{B}(\bar{x}_{s}) \right) \, \hat{\Upsilon}^{\varepsilon}_{s} \, ds \right| = \\ &= K \int_{0}^{t} |\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon}_{s} - \hat{Z}^{\varepsilon}_{s}| \, ds + \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}} \left| \int_{0}^{t} \left(B(\bar{x}_{s}, Y^{\varepsilon}_{s}) - \bar{B}(\bar{x}_{s}) \right) \, \int_{0}^{s} \, e^{\int_{u}^{s} \bar{B}(\bar{x}_{v}) \, dv} \, \tilde{b}(\bar{x}_{u}, Y^{\varepsilon}_{u}) \, du \, ds \right|, \end{split}$$

for some K > 0. Using Lemma 2.1.1 in [10] we obtain

$$\begin{split} &|\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon} - \hat{Z}_t^{\varepsilon}| \leq \\ &\leq e^{Kt} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}} \left| \int_0^t \left(B(\bar{x}_s, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) - \bar{B}(\bar{x}_s) \right) \int_0^s e^{\int_u^s \bar{B}(\bar{x}_v) \, dv} \, \tilde{b}(\bar{x}_u, Y_u^{\varepsilon}) \, du \, ds \right|. \end{split}$$

Hence, $\forall \delta > 0$

$$\begin{split} &P\left\{||\tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \hat{Z}_{\cdot}|| \geq \frac{\delta}{2}\right\} \leq \\ &\leq e^{KT}P\left\{\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}} \left| \int_{0}^{t} \left(B(\bar{x}_{s}, Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}) - \bar{B}(\bar{x}_{s})\right) \right. \right. \left. \int_{0}^{s} e^{\int_{u}^{s} \bar{B}(\bar{x}_{u}) \, du} \, \tilde{b}(\bar{x}_{u}, Y_{u}^{\varepsilon}) \, du \, ds \right| \geq \frac{\delta}{2}\right\}. \end{split}$$

From Condition B-3 we have that $\forall M > 0$, $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$(4.4) I_2 \equiv P\left\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon}_{\cdot} - \hat{Z}^{\varepsilon}_{\cdot}\| \ge \frac{\delta}{2}\right\} \le \exp\left\{-\frac{M}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\right\}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0.$$

Returning to (4.3) we get

$$P\left\{ \left\| \tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \varphi \right\| < \delta \right\} \geq \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}} \left(S_{0T}(\varphi) + \gamma \right) \right\}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0,$$

which is the lower bound in (A.I).

The upper bound is easily obtained. Let s > 0 and

$$\Phi(s) = \left\{ \varphi \in C_{0T}(\mathbf{R}^d) : S_{0T}(\varphi) \le s, \, \varphi_0 = x \in \mathbf{R}^d \right\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{split} &P\left\{\rho_{0T}\left(\tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon},\Phi(s)\right)\geq\delta\right\}\leq\\ &\leq P\left\{\rho_{0T}\left(\tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon},\Phi(s)\right)\geq\delta, \|\tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}-\hat{Z}_{\cdot}\|<\frac{\delta}{4}\right\}+P\left\{\|\tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}-\hat{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}\|\geq\frac{\delta}{4}\right\}\leq\\ &\leq P\left\{\rho_{0T}\left(\hat{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon},\Phi(s)\right)\geq\frac{\delta}{2}\right\}+P\left\{\|\tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}-\hat{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}\|\geq\frac{\delta}{4}\right\}\leq\\ &\leq \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\left(s-\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)\right\}+\exp\left\{-\frac{M}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\right\}, \end{split}$$

where the last inequality follows from (4.4) and the fact that $S_{0T}(\varphi)$ is the normalized action functional for \hat{Z}_t^{ε} . By taking M = s,

$$P\left\{\rho_{0T}\left(\tilde{Z}_{s}^{\varepsilon},\Phi(s)\right)\geq\delta\right\}\leq\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}(s-\gamma)\right\},\quad 0<\varepsilon\leq\varepsilon_{0}.$$

Proposition 4.2. If $S_{0T}(\varphi)$ is the normalized action functional for $\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon}$ with normalizing coefficient $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}$ then it is the action functional for Z_t^{ε} with the same normalizing coefficient.

Proof: Given $\delta > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$,

$$P\{\|Z_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \varphi\| < \delta\} \ge P\left\{\|Z_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}\| < \frac{\delta}{2}, \|\tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \varphi\| < \frac{\delta}{2}\right\} \ge$$

$$\ge P\left\{\|\tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \varphi\| < \frac{\delta}{2}\right\} - P\left\{\|Z_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}\| \ge \frac{\delta}{2}\right\} \equiv$$

$$\equiv I_{1} - I_{2}.$$

A lower bound for I_1 is obtained from the hypothesis that $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}S_{0T}(\varphi)$ is the action functional for $\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon}$.

For estimating I_2 we introduce a new process

$$V_t^{\varepsilon} = \int_0^t b(\tilde{\Upsilon}_s^{\varepsilon} + \bar{x}_s, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \, ds - \int_0^t \bar{b}(\bar{x}_s) \, ds.$$

Then,

$$V_t^{\varepsilon} + \int_0^t \bar{b}(\bar{x}_s) \, ds = \int_0^t \, b(\tilde{\Upsilon}_s^{\varepsilon} + \bar{x}_s, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \, ds.$$

From the smoothness of b(x, y) we get

$$b(\tilde{\Upsilon}_{s}^{\epsilon} + \bar{x}_{s}, Y_{s}^{\epsilon}) = b(\bar{x}_{s}, Y_{s}^{\epsilon}) + B(\bar{x}_{s}, Y_{s}^{\epsilon}) \, \tilde{\Upsilon}_{s}^{\epsilon} + r^{(2)}(\tilde{\Upsilon}_{s}^{\epsilon}),$$

where $r^2(\cdot)$ is the rest of Lagrange of order 2. Then,

$$V_t^{\varepsilon} = \int_0^t \tilde{b}(\bar{x}_s, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \, ds + \int_0^t B(\bar{x}_s, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \, \tilde{\Upsilon}_s^{\varepsilon} \, ds + \int_0^t r^{(2)}(\tilde{\Upsilon}_s^{\varepsilon}) \, ds$$

which implies that

$$\tilde{\Upsilon}_{t}^{\varepsilon} = V_{t}^{\varepsilon} - \int_{0}^{t} r^{(2)}(\tilde{\Upsilon}_{s}^{\varepsilon}) ds.$$

Therefore, taking into account (4.1) and (4.2),

$$\begin{split} &|Z_t^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon}| = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}} |\Upsilon_t^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{\Upsilon}_t^{\varepsilon}| = \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}} \left| \int_0^t b(X_s^{\varepsilon}, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \, ds - \int_0^t b(\tilde{\Upsilon}_s^{\varepsilon} + \bar{x}_s, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \, ds + \int_0^t r^{(2)} (\tilde{\Upsilon}_s^{\varepsilon}) \, ds \right| \le \\ &\le \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}} \left[K \int_0^t |X_s^{\varepsilon} - (\tilde{\Upsilon}_s^{\varepsilon} + \bar{x}_s)| \, ds + \int_0^t |r^{(2)} (\tilde{\Upsilon}_s^{\varepsilon})| \, ds \right] = \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}} \left[K \int_0^t |\Upsilon_s^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{\Upsilon}_s^{\varepsilon}| \, ds + \int_0^t |r^{(2)} (\tilde{\Upsilon}_s^{\varepsilon})| \, ds \right] = \\ &= K \int_0^t |Z_s^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon}| \, ds + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}} \int_0^t |r^{(2)} (\tilde{\Upsilon}_s^{\varepsilon})| \, ds. \end{split}$$

From Lemma 2.1.1 in [10] we get

$$|Z_t^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon}| \le e^{Kt} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}} \int_0^t |r^{(2)}(\tilde{\Upsilon}_s^{\varepsilon})| \, ds$$

for some K > 0. Since the second order derivatives of b(x, y) are bounded, we get

$$|r^{(2)}(\tilde{\Upsilon}_s^{\varepsilon})| \leq \frac{M}{2}|\tilde{\Upsilon}_s^{\varepsilon}|^2.$$

for some M > 0. Therefore,

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |Z_t^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon}| \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}} e^{KT} \int_0^T |r^{(2)} (\tilde{\Upsilon}_s^{\varepsilon})| \, ds \le$$

$$\le \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}} e^{KT} \frac{M}{2} \int_0^T |\tilde{\Upsilon}_s^{\varepsilon}|^2 \, ds \le \frac{1}{2} e^{KT} M \varepsilon^{\kappa} T ||\tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}||^2$$

which implies that

$$I_{2} \equiv P\left\{ \|Z_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}\| \ge \frac{\delta}{2} \right\} \le P\left\{ \|\tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}\|^{2} \ge \frac{\delta}{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}} \frac{2e^{-KT}}{TM} \right\} =$$

$$= P\left\{ \|\tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}\| \ge \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}} \sqrt{\delta \frac{e^{-KT}}{TM}} \right\}.$$

On the other hand, for s>0 $\Phi(s)=\{\varphi:S_{0T}(\varphi)\leq s,\,\varphi_0=0\}$ is compact. Moreover, $\varphi\equiv 0\in\Phi(s)\,,\,\forall s>0$ because $S_{0T}(0)=0\,.$ Let $\rho=dist(0;\partial\Phi(s))\,.$ Notice that $\rho>0$ since $\partial\Phi(s)$ is compact. Besides, for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, $\sqrt{\frac{\delta e^{-\kappa T}}{TM}\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}}}>2\rho\,.$ Then, $\exists \varepsilon_0>0$ such that

(4.6)
$$I_{2} \leq P\left\{\|\tilde{Z}_{s}^{\varepsilon}\| \geq 2\rho\right\} \leq P\left\{\rho_{0T}\left(\tilde{Z}_{s}^{\varepsilon}, \Phi(s)\right) \geq \rho\right\} \leq \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}\left(s - \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)\right\}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}.$$

The last inequality follows from the properties of the action functional. By choosing $s = S_{0T}(\varphi) + \gamma$, we have

$$I_2 \ge \frac{1}{2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}} \left[S_{0T}(\varphi) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right] \right\}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0.$$

From (4.5) we get

$$P\left\{\left\|Z_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \varphi\right\| < \delta\right\} \ge \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}} \left[S_{0T}(\varphi) + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right]\right\} - \frac{1}{2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}} \left[S_{0T}(\varphi) + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right]\right\} \ge$$

$$\ge \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}} \left[S_{0T}(\varphi) + \gamma\right]\right\}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0.$$

The upper bound follows easily from (4.6): $\forall s > 0$, $\forall \delta > 0$,

$$P\left\{\rho_{0T}\left(Z_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}, \Phi(s)\right) \geq \delta\right\} \leq$$

$$\leq P\left\{\rho_{0T}\left(Z_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}, \Phi(s)\right) \geq \delta, \|Z_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}\| < \frac{\delta}{4}\right\} + P\left\{\|Z_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}\| \geq \frac{\delta}{4}\right\} \leq$$

$$\leq P\left\{\rho_{0T}\left(\tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}, \Phi(s)\right) \geq \frac{\delta}{2}\right\} + P\left\{\|Z_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon} - \tilde{Z}_{\cdot}^{\varepsilon}\| \geq \frac{\delta}{4}\right\} \leq$$

$$\leq \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}(s-\gamma)\right\}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}.$$

Remark 4.1. Assume $\bar{b}(0) = 0$. Let

$$Z_t^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{\kappa} x + \int_0^t b(Z_s^{\varepsilon}, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) ds, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^d.$$

Then,

$$\dot{Z}_t^{\epsilon} = b(Z_t^{\epsilon}, Y_t^{\epsilon}), \quad Z_0^{\epsilon} = \epsilon^{\kappa} x.$$

It is not difficult to show that the action functional for $\frac{Z_t^{\epsilon}}{\epsilon^{\kappa}}$ does not change if we start with $Z_0^{\epsilon} = 0$.

5. Wave front Propagation

In this part we shall describe the wave front for the solution of the initial-boundary value problem introduced in (1.27). The main results may be proved by using the same approach as in Freidlin [6], Chapter VI, or in [7].

The conditions under b(x, y), the initial function g(x), and the non-linear term f(x, y, u) were specified in the introduction. We assume an aditional condition: $\bar{b}(0) = 0$ where $\bar{b}(x)$ satisfies (1.2).

Using the Feynman-Kac formula, the solution $u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)$ of (1.27) satisfies the equality (1.30). The properties of f(x, y, u) imply that

$$(5.1) u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y) \leq E^{\varepsilon}_{xy} g(X^{\varepsilon}_t) \exp\left\{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1 - 2\kappa}} \int_0^t c(\varepsilon^{\kappa} X^{\varepsilon}_s, Y^{\varepsilon}_s) ds\right\}$$

where $(X_t^{\varepsilon})_{t\geq 0}$ is the process in (1.29), $c(x,y,u)=\frac{f(x,y,u)}{u}$, and $c(x,y)=\sup_{0\leq u\leq 1}c(x,y,u)$.

Define

$$\Upsilon_t^{\epsilon} \equiv \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}} \int_0^t c(\varepsilon^{\kappa} X_s^{\epsilon}, Y_s^{\epsilon}) ds = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}} \int_0^t c(Z_s^{\epsilon}, Y_s^{\epsilon}) ds$$

where

$$Z_t^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{\kappa} X_t^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{\kappa} x + \int_0^t b(Z_s^{\varepsilon}, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) ds, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^d.$$

Notice that

$$\dot{Z}_{t}^{\varepsilon} = b(Z_{t}^{\varepsilon}, Y_{t}^{\varepsilon}), \quad Z_{0}^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{\kappa} x.$$

Moreover, $\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t b(z,Y_s)\,ds \underset{t\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \bar{b}(z)$ with probability 1. The Averaging Principle implies that $Z_t^\varepsilon\underset{\varepsilon\downarrow0}{\longrightarrow} \bar{z}_t \equiv 0$ where \bar{z}_t satisfies (1.3) with $\bar{z}_0=0$. On the other hand, it is known that there exists a function $\bar{c}(z)$ such that $\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t c(z,Y_s)\,ds \underset{t\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \bar{c}(z)$ with probability one, for all $z\in\mathbf{R}^d$. Since $\bar{b}(0)=0$ we conclude that

$$\left(\int_0^t b(Z_s^{\varepsilon}, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \, ds, \int_0^t c(Z_s^{\varepsilon}, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \, ds\right) \underset{\varepsilon \downarrow 0}{\longrightarrow} (0, \bar{c}(0)t)$$

with probability one.

Define

$$\eta_t^{\varepsilon} = \left(\varepsilon^{\kappa} x + \int_0^t b(0, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \, ds, \int_0^t c(0, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \, ds - \bar{c}(0)t\right).$$

According to Remark 4.1 and Theorem 1, the normalized action functional for $\frac{\eta_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon}}{\epsilon^{\kappa}}$ is

(5.2)
$$S_{0T}^{1}(\varphi,\eta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \langle A^{-1}(0,\bar{c}(0)t)(\dot{\varphi}_{t},\dot{\eta}_{t}), (\dot{\varphi}_{t},\dot{\eta}_{t}) \rangle dt, \\ \varphi,\eta \text{ a.c. }, \\ +\infty, \text{ in the rest of } C_{[0,T]}(\mathbf{R}^{d} \times \mathbf{R}^{d}) \end{cases}$$

with normalizing coefficient $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}$, where A(0,z) is the matrix satisfying

$$\begin{split} &< A(0,z)(\alpha,\beta), (\alpha,\beta)> = \\ &= \lim_{T \to +\infty} \frac{1}{T^{1-2\kappa}} \ln \bar{E}_y \exp \left\{ T^{-\kappa} \int_0^T <(\alpha,\beta), (b(0,Y_t),c(z,Y_t) - \bar{c}(0)t > dt \right\}. \end{split}$$

Using Theorem 2, we obtain the action functional for $\left(X_t^{\varepsilon}, \Upsilon_t^{\varepsilon} - \frac{\bar{\varepsilon}(0)t}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}}\right)$ with initial point (x,0) which is given by $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}}S_{0T}(\varphi,\eta)$ where

$$(5.3) S_{0T}(\varphi, \eta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle A^{-1}(0, \bar{c}(0)t) \left((\dot{\varphi}_t, \dot{\eta}_t) - \bar{B}(0, \bar{c}(0)t)(\varphi_t, \eta_t) \right), \\ \left((\dot{\varphi}_t, \dot{\eta}_t) - \bar{B}(0, \bar{c}(0)t)(\varphi_t, \eta_t) \right) \rangle dt, \\ \varphi, \eta \text{ a.c.} \\ +\infty, & \text{in the rest of } C_{[0,T]}(\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d). \end{cases}$$

Let us define, for each t > 0 and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$V(t,x) = \sup \left\{ \bar{c}(0)t - S_{0t}(\varphi,\eta) : \varphi, \eta \in C_{[0,+\infty)}(\mathbf{R}^d), \, \varphi_0 = x, \, \varphi_t \in G_0, \, \eta_0 = 0 \right\}.$$

By using the properties of the action functional, one can prove, similarly to Lemma 6.2.1 in [6], that

(5.4)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{1-2\kappa} \ln E_{xy}^{\varepsilon} g(X_t^{\varepsilon}) \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\kappa}} \int_0^t c(Z_s^{\varepsilon}, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \, ds \right\} = V(t, x).$$

Using (5.1) and (5.4) we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y) = 0 \quad \text{if } V(t, x) < 0 \text{ and } |y| \le a.$$

For proving that $\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} u^{\epsilon}(t, x, y) = 1$ in the region V(t, x) > 0 and $|y| \leq a$, we shall assume that Condition (N) (see [6]) holds:

Condition (N): $\forall (t, x)$ such that V(t, x) = 0,

$$V(t,x) = \sup \left\{ \bar{c}(0)t - S_{0t}(\varphi,\eta) : (\varphi,\eta) \in C_{[0,+\infty)}(\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d), \, \varphi_0 = x, \right.$$
$$\varphi_t \in G_0, \, V(t-s,\varphi_s) < 0 \text{ for } s \in (0,t), \, \eta_0 = 0 \right\}.$$

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 6.2.1 in [6] one can prove that, under Condition (N), $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y) = 1$ uniformly in any compact subset of $\{(t, x, y) : V(t, x) > 0, |y| \le a\}$.

The following examples show the form of the wave front in some particular cases of problem (1.27).

Example 5.1 Assume $b(x,y) \equiv b(y)$ and $f(x,y,u) \equiv f(u)$. The differential operator in (1.28) becomes

$$L^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}} b(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

and $(X_t^{\epsilon}: t \geq 0)$ in (1.29) is given by

$$X_t^{\varepsilon} = x + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}} \int_0^t b(Y_s^{\varepsilon}) ds.$$

In this case, $\bar{b}(x) \equiv \bar{b} = 0$. Let c(u) = uf(u), $\bar{c} \equiv f'(0) = \sup_{0 \le u \le 1} \frac{f(u)}{u}$, $\bar{c} > 0$.

Now,

$$V(t,x) = \sup \left\{ \bar{c}t - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \langle A^{-1}\dot{\varphi}_s, \dot{\varphi}_s \rangle \ ds : \varphi \in C_{[0,+\infty)}, \ \varphi_0 = x, \ \varphi_t \in G_0 \right\}.$$

For simplifying this function we assume $G_0 = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^d : ||x|| < 0\}$. From the Euler-Lagrange equation (see [1]) we obtain

$$V(t, x) = \bar{c}t - \frac{1}{2t} < A^{-1}x, x >, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The set $\{(t, x, y) : 2t^2\bar{c} = \langle A^{-1}x, x \rangle\}$ describes the position of the wave front, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. If $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the velocity of propagation is $\alpha^* = \sqrt{2A\bar{c}}$. It is not difficult to show that Condition (N) is satisfied.

Example 5.2 Assume $f \equiv f(y,u)$, $b \equiv b(y)$. The operator L^{ε} remains the same as in Example 5.1 as well as the process $(X_t^{\varepsilon}:t\geq 0)$. Define $c(y,u)=\frac{f(y,u)}{u}$, $c(y)=\sup_{0\leq u\leq 1}c(y,u)$. We assume that $\exists k_1,k_2$ such that $0\leq k_1\leq c(y)\leq k_2$, $\forall y$ and $\lim_{t\to+\infty}\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t c(Y_s)\,ds=\bar c>0$. According to Remark 3.1, the family of processes $\left(X_t^{\varepsilon},\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}}\left[\int_0^t c(Y_s^{\varepsilon})\,ds-\bar ct\right]\right)$ has the same action functional as $\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}}\int_0^t b(Y_s^{\varepsilon})\,ds,\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa}}[\int_0^t c(Y_s^{\varepsilon})\,ds-\bar ct]\right)$. The function V(t,x) becomes

$$V(t,x) = \sup \left\{ \bar{c}t - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \langle A^{-1}(\dot{\varphi}_s, \dot{\eta}_s), (\dot{\varphi}_s, \dot{\eta}_s) \rangle ds : \right.$$
$$(\varphi, \eta) \in C_{[0,+\infty)}(\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d), \, \varphi_0 = x, \, \eta_0 = 0, \varphi_t \in G_0 \right\} =$$
$$= \bar{c}t - \inf_{\gamma \in \mathbf{R}^d} \frac{1}{2t} \langle A^{-1}(x, \gamma), (x, \gamma) \rangle .$$

Let γ^* be the point of minimum. Then

$$V(t,x) = \bar{c}t - \frac{1}{2t} < A^{-1}(x,\gamma^*), (x,\gamma^*) > .$$

Condition (N) is satisfied and the position of the wave front is

$$\{(t, x, y) : 2\bar{c}t = \langle A^{-1}(x, \gamma^*), (x, \gamma^*) \rangle \}$$
.

Example 5.3: In (1.27) assume $f \equiv f(u)$. The differential operator is L^{ϵ} in (1.28) and the process satisfies (1.29). The function V(t,x) is given by

$$V(t,x) = \sup \left\{ \bar{c}t - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \langle A^{-1}(\dot{\varphi}_s - \bar{B}\varphi_s), (\dot{\varphi}_s - \bar{B}\varphi_s) \rangle \right\} ds :$$

$$\varphi \in C_{[0,+\infty)}(\mathbf{R}^d), \, \varphi_0 = x, \, \varphi_t \in G_0 \},$$

where A is the matrix in Condition B-1 and \bar{B} is given in Remark 4.1.

References

- [1] Arnold, V.I (1989), Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics. Spr. Verl., New York.
- [2] Aronson, D.G.; Weinberger, H.F. (19..), Nonlinear Diffusion in Population Genetics, Combustion, and Nerve Pulse Propagation. Proceedings of the Tulane Program in Partial Differential Equations (Lec. Not. Math.), Spr. Verl., Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
- [3] Baier, D.; Freidlin, M.I. (1977), Theorems on Large deviations and Stability under Random Perturbations. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Tom 235, no 2, Sov. Math. Dokl. Vol 18, no 4, pp 905-909.
- [4] Carmona,S.C. (1995), An Asymptotic Problem for a reaction-Diffusion Equation with a Fast Diffusion Component. Stoc. Stoc. Rep., Vol 52, pp 43-80.
- [5] Carmona,S.C (1995) Wave Front Propagation for a Cauchy Problem with a Fast Component. Stoc. Stoc. Rep., Vol 52, pp 81-105.
- [6] Freidlin, M.I (1985) Functional Integration and Partial Differential Equations. Princ. Uni. Press, Princeton, New York.
- [7] Freidlin, M.I. (1985) Limit theorems for Large Deviations and Reaction-Diffusion Equations. Ann. Prob. Vol 13, pp 639-675.
- [8] Freidlin, M.I. (1976) Fluctuations in Dynamical Systems with Averaging. Sov. Math. Dokl. Vol 17, no 1, pp 104-108.
- [9] Freidlin, M.I. (1972) The Action functional for a Class of Stochastic Processes. Theo. Prob. Appl. Vol 17, no 3, 511-515.

- [10] Freidlin, M.I., Wentzell, A.D. (1984), Random Perturbations of Dynamical Systems. Spr. Verl., New York (translated from Russian, 1979, Nauka Moscow).
- [11] Gärtner, J. (1976) Theorems on Large deviations for a certain Class of Random Processes. Theo. Prob. Appl. Vol 21, no 1, pp 96-107.
- [12] Gartner, J. (1982), Location for Wave Fronts for the Multidimensional KPP Equation and Brownian First Exit Densities. Math. Nachr., Vol 105, pp 317-351.
- [13] Khas'minskii, R.Z (1966), On Stochastic Processes defined by Differential Equations with a Small Parameter. Th. Prob. Appl. Vol 11, no 2, pp 211-228.
- [14] Kolmogorov, A.; Petrovskii, I.; Piskounov, N. (1937), Étude de l'Equation de la Diffusion avec Croissance de la Matière et son Application à un Problème Biologique. Bull. Math. Vol 1, pp 1-24.
- [15] McKean, H.P. (1975), Application of Brownian Motion to the Equation KPP. Comm. Pur. Appl. Math., vol 28, pp 323-331.
- [16] Varadhan, S.R.S. (1984), Large Deviations and Applications. Soc. Ind. Appl. Math., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

S.C.CARMONA

Institute of Mathematics, UFRGS
Porto Alegre, RS 91500-000, Brazil
E-mail address: carmona@if1.if.ufrgs.br

N.I.TANAKA

IME-USP, Caixa Postal 66281, Ag. Cidade de São Paulo São Paulo, SP 05389-970 Brazil E-mail address: nitanaka@ime.usp.br

Publicações do Instituto de Matemática da UFRGS Cadernos de Matemática e Estatística

Série A: Trabalho de Pesquisa

- Marcos Sebastiani Artecona Transformation des Singularités -MAR/89
- Jaime Bruck Ripoll On a Theorem of R. Langevin about Curvature and Complex Singularities - MAR/89
- 3. Eduardo Cisneros, Miguel Ferrero e Maria Inés Gonzales Prime Ideals of Skew Polynomial Rings and Skew Laurent Polynomial Rings - ABR/89
- 4. Oclide José Dotto ε-Dilations JUN/89
- 5. Jaime Bruck Ripoll A Characterization of Helicoids JUN/89
- 6. Mark Thompson e V. B. Moscatelli Asymptotic Distribution of Liusternik-Schnirelman Eigenvalues for Elliptic Nonlinear Operators – JUL/89
- 7. Mark Thompson The Formula of Weyl for Regions with a Self-Similar Fractal Boundary JUL/89
- 8. Jaime Bruck Ripoll A Note on Compact Surfaces with Non Zero Constant Mean Curvature - OUT/89
- 9. Jaime Bruck Ripoll Compact €-Convex Hypersurfaces NOV/89
- 10. Jandyra Maria G. Fachel Coeficientes de Correlação Tipo-Contigência - JAN/90
- 11. Jandyra Maria G. Fachel The Probality of Ocurrence of Heywood Cases JAN/90

- 12. Jandyra Maria G. Fachel Heywood Cases in Unrestricted Factor Analysis - JAN/90
- 13. Julio Cesar R. Claeyssen e Tereza Tsukazan de Ruiz -Dynamical Solutions of Linear Matrix Diferential Equations -JAN/90
- 14. María T. Albanese Behaviour of de Likelihood in Latent Analysis of Binary Data - ABR/91
- 15. Maria T. Albanese Measuremente of the Latent Trait Analysis of Binary Data - ABR/91
- 16. Maria T. Albanese Adequacy of the Asymptotic Variance-Covariance Matrix Using Bootstrap Jackknife Techniques in Latent Trait Analysis of Binary Data - ABR/91
- 17. Maria T. Albanese Latent Variable Models for Binary Response - ABR/91
- 18. Mark Thompson Kinematic Dynamo in Random Flows DEZ/90
- 19. Jaime Bruck Ripoll e Marcos Sebastiani Artecona- The Generalized Map and Applications - AGO/91
- 20. Jaime Bruck Ripoll, Suzana Fornari e Katia Frensel -Hypersurfaces with Constant Mean Curvature in the Complex Hyperbolic Space - AGO/91
- 21. Suzana Fornari e Jaime Bruck Ripoll Stability of Compact Hypersurfaces with Constant Mean Curvature - JAN/92
- 22. Marcos Sebastiani Artecona Une Généralisation de L'Invariant de Malgrange - FEV/92
- 23. Cornelis Kraaikamp e Artur Lopes The Theta Group and the Continued Fraction with Even Partial Quotients - MAR/92

- 24. Silvia Lopes Amplitude Estimation in Multiple Frequency Spectrum - MAR/92
- 25. Silvia Lopes e Benjamin Kedem Sinusoidal Frequency Modulated Spectrum Analysis - MAR/92
- 26. Silvia Lopes e Benjamin Kedem Iteration of Mappings and Fixed Spectrum Analysis - MAR/92
- 27. Miguel Ferrero, Eduardo Cisneros e Maria Ines Gonzales Ore Extensions and Jacobson Rings - MAI/92
- 28. Sara C. Carmona An Asymptotic Problem for a Reaction-Diffusion Component JUL/92
- 29. Luiz Fernando Carvalho da Rocha Unique Ergodicity of Interval Exchange Maps JUL/92
- 30. Sara C. Carmona Wave Front Propagation for a Cauchy Problem With a Fast Component OUT/92
- 31. Marcos Sebastiani Artecona e Iván Pan Pérez Intersections Transverses dans l'Espace Projectif - 0UT/92
- 32. Miguel Ferrero Closed Bimodules over Prime Rings: Closed Submodules and Applications to Rings Extensions - DEZ/92
- 33. Dinara W. X. Fernandez Método da Máxima Verossimilhança Restrita para Estimação de Componentes de Variância — SET/93
- 34. Martin Knott e M. Teresa Albanese Polymiss: A Computer Program for Fitting a One- or Two-Factor Logit-Probit Latent Variable Model to Polynomous Data when Observations may be Missing OUT/93
- 35. Peter Struss e Waldir L. Roque Foundations and Applications of Qualitative Reasoning and Model-Based-Diagnosis - OUT/93

- 36. Edmund R. Puczylowski On Koethe's Problem OUT/93
- 37. Luis G. Mendes e Marcos A. A. Sebastiani Artecona Sur la Densité des Systèmes de Pfaff sans Solution Algébrique - JAN/94
- 38. Artur O. Lopes e Luiz F. C. da Rocha Invariant Measures for Gauss Maps Associated with Interval Exchange Maps -MAR/94
- 39. Artur O. Lopes e Roberto Markarian Open Billiards: Cantor Sets, Invariant and Conditionally Invariant Probabilities - AGO/94
- 40. Pierre Collet, Antonio Galves e Artur O. Lopes Maximum Likelihood and Minimum Entropy Identification of Grammars AGO/94
- 41. Ondina F. Leal e Jandyra M. G. Fachel Antropologia do Corpo e Pesquisa sobre Sexualidade: Dados Qualitativos e Tratamento Estatístico, uma Proposta Metodológica - DEZ/94
- 42. Artur O. Lopes e Sílvia R. C. Lopes Parametric Estimation and Spectral Analysis of Chaotic Time Series NOV/95
- 43. Artur O. Lopes, Rafael R. Souza e Silvia R. C. Lopes On the Spectral Density of a Class of Chaotic Time Series DEZ/95
- 44. Marcelo Bergmann e Silvia R. C. Lopes Instantaneous Frequency Detection by the Contraction Mapping Method FEV/96
- 45. Artur O. Lopes, Rafael R. Souza e Silvia R. C. Lopes -Spectral Analysis of Chaotic Transformations - MAR/96
- 46. Artur O. Lopes, Rafael R. Souza e Silvia R. C. Lopes Spectral analysis of Expanding One-dimensional Chaotic
 Transformation ABR/96

- 47. Sara Ianda C. Carmona, Carlos Landim, Artur O. Lopes, Silvia R. C. Lopes - A Level-1 Large Deviation Principle for the Autocovariances of Uniquely Ergodic Transformations with Additive Noise - FEV/97
- 48. Sara Ianda C. Carmona, N. I. Tanaka Exponential Estimates for "Not Very Large Deviations" and Wave front Propagation for a Class of Reaction-diffusion Equations MAR/97

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO GRANDE DO SUL INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA NÚCLEO DE ATIVIDADES EXTRACURRICULARES

Os Cadernos de Matemática e Estatística publicam as seguintes séries:

Série A: Trabalho de Pesquisa

Série B: Trabalho de Apoio Didático

Série C: Colóquio de Matemática SBM/UFRGS

Série D: Trabalho de Graduação

Série F: Trabalho de Divulgação

Série G: Textos para Discussão

Toda correspondência com solicitação de números publicados e demais informações deverá ser enviada para:

NAEC - NÚCLEO DE ATIVIDADES EXTRACURRICULARES
INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA - UFRGS
AV. BENTO GONÇALVES, 9500 - PRÉDIO 43111
CEP 91509 - 900 AGRONOMIA - POA/RS

FONE: 316 6197

FAX: 319 15 12